



Terms and conditions: Outstanding Contribution to Cancer Control Awards

Awards to recognise individuals who have made an outstanding contribution to cancer control. Launched at the 2019 World Cancer Leaders' Summit, and to be repeated at every biennial Summit from 2021, each iteration of the award will be focused on the theme of the Summit and split into two separate categories, one aimed at policy-makers and one for civil society.

Aim: recognise excellence, inspire the community, unite through celebration.

Why UICC: as the largest and oldest international cancer organisation with over 1,100 members across 170 countries representing the world's major cancer societies, ministries of health and patient groups and including influential policy makers, world-class researchers and experts in cancer prevention and control, UICC is well placed within the community, has a proven track record and the networks to bring together the cancer control community and host platforms to celebrate success.

Why at the World Cancer Leaders' Summit: as the major annual high-level policy meeting dedicated exclusively to furthering global cancer control, the Summit provides the ideal setting to recognise those whose work and contributions have helped shape and deliver the cancer control agenda. Such an award will not only inspire the cancer control community, but also galvanise politicians and policy makers, and increase cancer's visibility on the international public health agenda.

2021 theme: in line with the 2021 World Cancer Leaders' Summit theme of *Driving innovation to serve cancer control*, the second Outstanding Contribution to Cancer Control Award will seek to recognise the work of those who have contributed to advance innovation equitably in the cancer control space.

Nominator must

- not nominate themselves;
- have direct knowledge of the nominee's work;
- inform the nominee that you have nominated them for the award;
- submit the entry online through the dedicated form before the deadline; and
- understand that the intentions for this initiative are to celebrate, share and publicly recognise the inspiring work of individuals contributing to cancer control worldwide

Nominee must

- be someone whose work has made an outstanding contribution to cancer control, in line with the relevant Summit's theme;
- for the **policy-maker category**: currently work in government, responsible for setting a country's policies impacting national cancer control, whether directly or indirectly;
- for the **civil society category**: currently work in the broader global health and/or cancer community, whether in international organisations, academia, or non-profits;
- ideally, be able to attend in person the award ceremony at the World Cancer Leaders' Summit should their nomination be among the finalists, or have representatives present to collect the award on their behalf (with the opportunity of a video message) should personal attendance be impractical;
- give consent for the information provided on them to be featured onto the UICC website, and UICC social media channels; and
- give consent for potential media coverage of their nomination.
- Nominee must not be a current UICC board member

Judging criteria

Nominees will be judged on the following:

- Has the individual made an **outstanding contribution to cancer control within the relevant theme and the specific category**?
- Has the impact of their work extended, directly or indirectly, **beyond a limited number of individuals or geographical area**?
- Has the work of the individual had a **positive lasting impact** to this day?
- Is the individual's story **a compelling one and does it inspire others to act**?

Judging Panel

The judging Panel shall be composed by [UICC Board members](#), by one representative of the WCLS host organisation, and by representatives of the following scientific journals: Lancet Oncology, JGO and IJC. Please see list of judges in [appendix II](#).

Conflict of interest: any member of the judging panel who is among the Award nominees, nominators, or come from the organisation of one of the nominees is deemed conflicted and should not participate in the selection phase where the conflict exists. Should the conflict cease because the linked nominee does not make it to the next phase, then the Board member is re-included in the process.

Judging process

- Prior to the slate of nominations being submitted to the judges, UICC staff will conduct an initial screening to reach a long-list of eligible candidatures who more closely fit the judging criteria above for each of the two categories
- The long-lists will be passed on to the judging panel. They will select, based exclusively on the judging criteria, a short-list of three to five finalists for each category and, from those, choose the ultimate winners, to be announced at the award ceremony. Short-listed candidates will be invited to attend the ceremony.
- Judges will declare any conflicts of interest regarding relationships with either the nominator or the nominee, which, in some circumstances, may lead to them abstaining from the panel.
- Only entries submitted via the appropriate online entry form will be considered.
- All information provided will be treated in the strictest confidence.
- Judges will evaluate each entry against the criteria, using their own personal discretion based on their relevant expertise.
- Judges decisions are final, and binding, and no feedback will be given on unsuccessful entries.
- If it is found that any entrant has knowingly provided false information, UICC reserves the right to disqualify the entry.
- UICC will appoint two returning officers from among its staff to collect and count the votes of the judges leading to the short-list and the winners. The name of the winners will be kept in the strictest confidence until announcement at the World Cancer Leaders' Summit. Only the appointed returning officers, UICC's President and CEO will know the names in advance.

Award sponsors

UICC reserves the right to approach organisations who may be interested in sponsoring the awards. Only non-governmental organisations are eligible to sponsor the awards. Sponsors will be acknowledged in all communications related to the awards and at the awards ceremony. The sponsor will not receive any information on the entries, or nominees, and will not be involved in the nomination, or judging process.

Should the awards be sponsored, entrants must:

- agree that, should they be shortlisted, they will be cited with the name of UICC and the sponsor's name in communication related to the awards (sponsors will follow the [UICC Partnerships with Donors Policy](#))
- understand that the sponsor may co-present the awards at the ceremony.

Generic timeline

June: announcement and nominations period

July: long-listing and short-listing processes

August – September: selection of the winners

25 October 2021: winner's announcement at World Cancer Leaders' Summit Gala Dinner

Appendix I – Judging process for each category

Step 1 – Internal screening to reach a long-list

- A minimum of 3 members of UICC staff drawn from its senior management team review all nomination forms, rating them using the following scoring system:
 - Has the individual's work clearly contributed to cancer control? Score from 0 to 3
 - Has the individual's work contributed, directly or indirectly, to expand access to quality prevention, early detection, treatment or care for cancer? Score from 0 to 3
 - Has the work of the individual positively impacted, directly or indirectly, beyond a limited number of beneficiaries? Score from 0 to 3
 - Does the work of the individual have a positive lasting impact to this day? Score from 0 to 3
 - Is the individual's story a compelling one and does it inspire others to act? Score from 0 to 3

All applications scoring 60% or above of top scoring make it to the long-list.

Step 2 – Review of the long-list to reach a short-list of 3 to 5 candidates

- The full list of applications, including collated scoring, is shared with the judging panel for information.
- The judging panel is asked to review applications in the long-list (including nomination forms, support letters, and any other available reference) within a set time-frame.
- Each member of the judging panel is asked individually to rank their top 5 candidates from the list, based on their judgement on how candidates fit the judging criteria for the award.
- The quorum for the vote to be valid is a minimum of 11 members of the judging panel voting within the timeframe.
- Rankings are sent to the UICC appointed returning officer and scored as follows: 5 points to each first ranked, 4 to each second, 3 to each third, and so forth, with the top 3 or 5 (based on how close the top ranked positions are) making it to the short-list.

Step 3 – Selection of the winner from the short-list

- Each member of the judging panel is asked individually to select a first-choice and second-choice winner from the short-list, within a specific timeframe.
- As per step 2, the quorum for the vote to be valid is a minimum of 11 members of the judging panel voting within the timeframe.
- If a candidate receives 50%+1 majority of first-choice votes, that is the winner. If no one candidate receives a 50%+1 majority of first-choice votes, the second choices are taken into consideration.
- In case there is still no clear majority winner after considering the second-choice votes, a final decision is discussed by the judging panel over a group call.

Conflict of interest: any member of the judging panel who is among the Award nominees, nominators, or come from the organisation of one of the nominees is deemed conflicted and should not participate in the selection phase where the conflict exists. Should the conflict cease because the linked nominee does not make it to the next phase, then the member of the judging panel is re-included in the process.

Appendix II

List of judges

UICC Board 2020-2022

- **President** : Prof Anil D'Cruz, Director at Oncology Apollo Hospitals, Ex-director at Tata Memorial Hospital - India
- **President -Elect**: Prof Jeff Dunn, CEO, Prostate Cancer Foundation of Australia - Australia
- **Treasurer**: Ms Ulrika Årehed Kågström, Secretary General, The Swedish Cancer Society - Sweden

Board members:

- Prof Daiming Fan, President, China Anti-Cancer Association - China
- Dr Cristiana Fonseca, Health Education Department Coordinator, Portuguese League Against Cancer - Portugal
- Mr Nick Grant, Executive Director of International Partnerships, Cancer Research UK - United Kingdom
- Prof Anne Lee, Vice Chairman, Hong Kong Anti-Cancer Society - Hong Kong
- Dr Ana Cristina Pinho Mendes Pereira, Director General, Instituto Nacional de Cancer - Brazil
- Prof Danielle Rodin, Radiation Oncologist, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre - Canada
- Dr Zainab Shinkafi-Bagudu, Founder/CEO, MEDICAID Cancer Foundation – Nigeria
- Prof. Gilberto Lopes, Professor of Clinical Medicine, Associate Director for the Cancer Center, Hematology and Medical Oncology Fellowship Director, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center and Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami – USA
- Eric Bouffet, Director of the Paediatric Neuro-Oncology Program International Society of Paediatric Oncology and the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto – Canada
- Kenji Lopez Cuevas, Lawyer, Founder and President, Cancer Warriors of Mexico - Mexico
- Hana Chaar Choueib, General Manager, Children's Cancer Center of Lebanon (CCCL)- Lebanon
- Miriam Mutebi, Consultant Breast Surgical Oncologist, Clinical epidemiologist & health systems researcher, Aga Khan University Hospital - Kenya
- CS Pramesh, Director, Tata Memorial Hospital, Tata Memorial Hospital / National Cancer Grid – India

2021 WCLS Host Organisation

- E. Blair Holladay, CEO, American Society for Clinical Pathology (ASCP)

Scientific journals

- David Collingridge, Editor-in-Chief, Lancet Oncology
- Prof. Gilberto Lopes, Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Global Oncology
- Prof. Christoph Plass, Editor-in-Chief, International Journal of Cancer